IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 22ND JUNE 2007 / 1ST ASHADHA 1929

R.P.No. 510 of 2007()

IN W.A.NO.1898/2003
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/APPELLANT/PETITIONER:

N.MONEY SEKHARAN,
VISHNU, V.N.R.COMPOUND, THAMARAKKULAM,
KOLLAM.

BY ADV. DR.K.P.SATHEESAN

RESPONDENTS/ RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY, LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT (B)DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THRIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE CORPORATION OF KOLLAM,
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY, KOLLAM.

3. THE SECRETARY,
CORPORATION OF KOLLAM, KOLLAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.A.SALIM
ADV. SRI.M.K.CHANDRA MOHAN DAS,SC,KOLLAM MPT

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R.RAMAN & S.SIRIJAGAN,JJ

==========

R.P.No.510 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 22nd day of June 2007

ORDER

S.SIRIJAGAN,J

Petitioner seeks a review of judgment dated 13.12.2006 on the ground that exact grievance of the petitioner has not been considered. But from a reading of the judgment, we see that all the contentions of the petitioner have been considered in the judgment. If the petitioner has got a case that, that decision is not correct, the remedy of petitioner is to file an appeal and not a review petition. Accordingly, review petition is dismissed.

P.R.RAMAN,JUDGE

S.SIRIJAGAN,JUDGE

dvs